UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,941	02/24/2004	Daniel Manhung Wong	50277-2406	3803
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER/ORACLE 2055 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1083			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,941	WONG, DANIEL MANHUNG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL PHAM	2167		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
/John R. Cottingham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Claim Status: Claims 1-26 are unamended.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's mainly assert the following in regards to the claimed invention (lettered):

A. Applicant's appear to assert that the limitations of claim 1 are not disclosed because Yao does not teach suggest or motivate "said database server executing said database statement wherein during execution of said database statement said database server, provides access to one or more of the at least one parameter values through a tag access mechanism provided by said database server." That this is because Yao does not use tags or make tags accessible after the second set of instructions is generated.

In response, the examiner disagrees that the claimed limitation is not disclosed.

Yao, paragraph 0042 lines 1-4, discloses an ETL system that may be integrated into the server 104, the storage device 106, or may be a separate element in the distributed data processing environment. Yao, 0046 lines 7-11, a driver mechanism is provided in the ETL system that parses such comments to determine what tags and tag parameters are present in the comments in order to determine how to execute the SQL instructions in the ETL SQL file. Yao, paragraph 0049 lines 13-14, the ETL driver module decomposes the SQL instructions into modified SQL instructions that may be performed in a more efficient manner. 0049 lines 6-8, the sol instructions, command instruction tags and tag parameters are provided to the ETL driver module for the particular enterprise transient system 410.

Accordingly, said database server (0042, server 104) executing said database statement (0049, decomposes the SQL instructions) wherein during execution of said database statement said database server (0049, decomposes the SQL), provides access to one or more of the at least one parameter values (0046 and 0049, tag) through a tag access mechanism (0046, driver mechanism) provided by said database server (0042, server 104).

In regards to tags not used after the second set of instructions, this is irrelevant to the interpretation of the claims.

B. Yao does not teach "during execution of said database statement."

In response, the examiner disagrees. As noted above, execution of the database statement is disclosed by decomposing the SQL instructions, see 0049 lines 13-14.

C. Applicant's assert that Niether Lin nor Inohara disclose "during execution of said database statement".

In response, please see part A.